

Remarks/Arguments

The Applicant confirms that claims 1 and 22-48, as indicated by the Examiner on page 1 of said Office Action, were pending in the subject patent application at the time the Examiner issued a restriction requirement.

However, on page 2, lines 9-10, of said Office Action, the Examiner indicates "claims 46-58" which appears to be a typographical oversight. Thus, Applicant points out that this text should read "claims 46-48"; and since claim 48 was the highest claim number submitted for examination in this application.

Applicant hereby states that by the species election made hereinabove, no new matter is being added to the subject patent application.

Entry into the record is respectfully requested.

Dated: September 23, 2004
New York, New York

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB RACKMAN & REISMAN PC
Attorneys for Applicant
270 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10016-0601
Telephone: (212) 684 3900
Telefax: (212) 684 3999

By: 

WEISZ, Tiberiu
Reg. No. 29,876